

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

DANIEL ESPINOZA,

CASE NO. C17-1709JLR

Plaintiff,

ORDER STRIKING DISCOVERY MOTIONS

CITY OF SEATTLE, et al.,

Defendants.

Before the court are: (1) Defendants City of Seattle and Lieutenant Thomas
ffey's (collectively, "Defendants") motion to compel Plaintiff Daniel Espinoza to
file complete responses to Defendants' requests for admission (1st MTC (Dkt. # 48));
(2) Defendants' motion to compel Mr. Espinoza to produce certain military service
records and federal tax returns, as well as to compel Mr. Espinoza to make his expert,
West, available for deposition (2d MTC (Dkt. # 51)). Defendants filed these
motions without first requesting a conference with the court. (*See* Dkt.) The motions
herein contravene the court's February 8, 2018, scheduling order. (*See* Sched. Order

1 (Dkt. # 17) at 2 (“[P]ursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court ‘direct[s]
2 that before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a
3 conference with the court’ by notifying [the courtroom deputy]” (citing Fed. R. Civ.
4 P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) (second alteration in original)); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)
5 (permitting the court, in its scheduling order, to “direct that before moving for an order
6 relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court”). The court
7 therefore STRIKES Defendants’ motions (Dkt. ## 48, 51) without prejudice to renewing
8 the motions in a manner that comports with the court’s scheduling order.

9 Dated this 7th day of December, 2018.

10
11 
12

13 JAMES L. ROBART
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22